

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/937,482	09/26/2001	Haruyuki Mizuno	2001-1209A	9656	
513	7590 05/12/2003				
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			EXAMINER		
SUITE 800	EEI N. W.	KEEHAN, CHRISTOPHER M			
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712	R	
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003	O	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-,	•	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)
		09/937,4	82	MIZUNO ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examine	<u> </u>	Art Unit
		Christoph	er M. Keehan	1712
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	n appears on th	e cover sheet	with the correspondence address
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the rid patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no evon. a reply within the stateriod will apply and wistatute, cause the appropriate in the statute.	ent, however, may utory minimum of till expire SIX (6) M	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 March 200	<u>3</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is	non-final.	
3) <u>□</u> Dispositio	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un on of Claims	llowance excep nder <i>Ex parte</i> C	ot for formal n Luayle, 1935 (natters, prosecution as to the merits i C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-23 is/are pending in	the application	۱.	
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from co	nsideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-23</u> is/are rejected.			•
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election r	eauirement.	
	on Papers		- 1	
9)□ Т	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	accepted or b)	objected to by	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s)	be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)□ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)∐ a	pproved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	in reply to this O	fice action.	
12) 🔲 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority ur	der 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	 Certified copies of the priority document 	nents have bee	n received.	
:	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have bee	n received in	Application No
	 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa ee the attached detailed Office action for a 	I Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a))	
14) 🗌 Ad	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application
_ a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	e provisional ap	plication has	been received.
ttachment(-		
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No) (s)		v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Tra O-326 (Rev	A . A	ce Action Summa	~	Part of Paper No. 8

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Specification

The objection to the disclosure has been withdrawn due to applicant's amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection of claims 5, 6, 16, and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, has been withdrawn due to applicant's amendments.

The rejection of claim 22 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, has been withdrawn due to applicant's amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The rejection of claims 1-5, 7, 9-16, 18, and 20-24 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Mizuno et al. (JP 2000-265526) has been withdrawn due to applicant's arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/937,482

Art Unit: 1712

The rejection of claims 6, 8, 17, and 19 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizuno et al. (JP 2000-265526) has been withdrawn due to applicant's arguments.

The rejection of claims 1-22 and 24 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Asai et al. (5,599,893) in view of Applicant's admitted prior art (specification, page 8, lines 17-21) has been withdrawn in light of a new rejection.

The rejection of claims 22 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asai et al. (5,599,893) in view of Mizuno et al. (JP 2000-265526) has been withdrawn due to applicant's arguments.

New Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-10, and 12-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asai et al. (5,599,893) in view of Bertocchi et al. (6,174,608 B1). Regarding claims 1-10, and 12-21, Asai et al. disclose the instantly claimed composition (as set forth in the previous office action and also noted by applicant in the last response beginning on page 9, fifth and sixth paragraph). Asai et al. disclose a first component (col.3, lines 11-67) and second component (col.4, linen 1-59), mixed together (col.3, lines 4-10). These components are the same as claimed by applicant. Although Asai et al. do not specifically disclose what happens after they combine, it appears this is inherently disclosed in the composition of Asai et al. because the

Art Unit: 1712

components of Asai et al. are the same as applicant's, and the same components would have yielded a composition with the inherently same characteristics. If not inherent, then it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the composition of Asai et al. to have achieved the instantly claimed inherent characteristics, because the composition of Asai et al. is at least similar to that of applicant's, and at least similar materials would have yielded a product with at least similar inherent characteristics. It is not clear why the composition of Asai et al., which is the same as applicant's, would also not behave to achieve the instantly claimed characteristics. Applicant has amended the claims (claims 1 and 12) to include a surface that is repeatedly wetted and dried. Asai et al. disclose a surface to be treated that is exposed to rain (col.7, lines 9-15). This is a surface that is repeatedly wetted and dried. Applicant has also amended the claims to include sanitary chinaware (claims 1 and 12). Bertocchi et al. provide the general teaching that sanitary chinaware is known in the art to be composed of ceramic (col.5, lines 42-56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Asai et al. to have applied their composition to a ceramic surface, such as sanitary chinaware, because Bertocchi et al. teach that sanitary chinaware is ceramic.

Regarding claim 22, Asai et al. do not appear to disclose applying the composition to a stained surface. Asai et al. do disclose applying the composition to a variety of surfaces, including various glass articles, porcelain, tiles, and plastic materials, (col.7, lines 9-15). It is the examiner's position that it would have been within the skill of the art to that these substrates can be stained, either decoratively or

Art Unit: 1712

otherwise, before application of the composition of Asai et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have applied the composition of Asai et al. to a variety of substrates, including a substrate that can be decoratively stained or otherwise, because Asai et al. disclose applying the composition to a variety of substrates produces a waterproof and stainproof substrate resulting in a higher quality product.

Regarding claim 23, Asai et al. appear to disclose reproducing a hydroxyl group on the surface by additional wetting (col.7, lines 9-15).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,482 Page 6

Art Unit: 1712

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Keehan whose telephone number is (703) 305-2778. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 6:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert A. Dawson can be reached on 308-2340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Christopher Keehan CMV

May 8, 2003

Robert Dawson
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700

Robert a Sawon